WO

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V

## ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	•	•	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	IN OF DETENTION FENDING TRIAL	
German Carrasco-Delgado		Case Numb	er:	08-6167M	
and was repres				as held on June 27, 2008. Defendant was presen ne defendant is a flight risk and order the detention	
I find by a pre	FI conderance of the evidence that:	NDINGS OF FACT			
	The defendant is not a citizen of the Uni	ted States or lawfull	y adr	nitted for permanent residence.	
X	The defendant, at the time of the charge	d offense, was in th	e Un	ited States illegally.	
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deporte or otherwise removed.				
	The defendant has no significant contact	ndant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.			
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.				
	The defendant has a prior criminal histor	ry.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.				
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.				
	There is a record of prior failure to appear	ar in court as ordere	d.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law e	enforcement contact	by fl	eeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximum of _		у	ears imprisonment.	
The Coat the time of t	he hearing in this matter, except as noted	in the record.		ervices Agency which were reviewed by the Cour	
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendar No condition or combination of condition DIRECTION	s will reasonably as <b>S REGARDING DE</b>	sure <b>TEN</b>		
a corrections for appeal. The do of the United S	acility separate, to the extent practicable, from efendant shall be afforded a reasonable op states or on request of an attorney for the Gone United States Marshal for the purpose of	om persons awaiting portunity for private overnment, the pers	or se cons son ir conr		
IT IS C deliver a copy Court.	RDERED that should an appeal of this de	tention order be filed	d with	n the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric	
IT IS F Services suffic	URTHER ORDERED that if a release to a siently in advance of the hearing before the potential third party custodian.	third party is to be co e District Court to a	onsid Ilow	ered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DAT	ED this 30 <sup>th</sup> day of June, 2008.				
		- Jan	-		

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge